IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN

TUESDAY, THE 10TH JULY 2007 / 19TH ASHADHA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 617 of 2007(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WPC.29330/2004 Dated 18/10/2004

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN THE WRIT PETITION

GAYATHRI CHEMICALS, PANNIYAMPADAM, MUNDUR, PALAKKAD REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING PARTNER S.NARAYANAN.

BY ADV. SRI.K.R.RAGHUNATH

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS 1 & 2 IN THE WRIT PETITION

1. GOPALAKRISHNAN, AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER, GENERAL MANAGER, DISTRICT INDUSTRIES CENTRE, PALAKKAD.

2. V.VARGHESE, AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER, CHIEF MANAGER(AUTHORIZED OFFICER), STATE BANK OF INDIA, ZONAL OFFICE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

R2 BY STANDING COUNSEL SRI GEORGE THOMAS MEVADA

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 10/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R. RAMAN, J.

= = = = = = = = =

CONT. CASE (C) NO. 617 OF 2007

= =

DATED THIS, THE 10TH DAY OF JULY, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

The complaint of the petitioner is that the direction given by this court as per the judgment in W.P.(C) 29330/2004 is not complied with. Annexure I is the judgment rendered in the writ petition, as per which a direction was given to the first respondent to consider Ext.P1 and dispose of the same, in accordance with law, within three weeks.

2. The second respondent filed an affidavit rendering unconditional apology for not passing the order within time. It is stated that subsequently, order was passed on Ext.P1 application. Exts. R2(a) minutes and R2(c) communication are also produced in proof thereof. In such circumstances, accepting the unconditional apology and also for the reason that the direction contained in the judgment has been complied with, this contempt case is closed with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the consequential orders passed on Ext.P1 application, in accordance with law, in appropriate proceedings.

P.R. RAMAN,
(JUDGE)

knc/-